

## Minutes

### MAJOR APPLICATIONS PLANNING SUB-COMMITTEE (HS2)

25 November 2020



Meeting held at VIRTUAL - Live on the Council's YouTube channel: Hillingdon London

	<p><b>Committee Members Present:</b> Councillors Steve Tuckwell (Chairman) Eddie Lavery (Vice-Chairman) Nicola Brightman Becky Haggar Janet Duncan (Opposition Lead) John Oswell John Morgan</p> <p><b>LBH Officers Present:</b> James Rodger, Head of Planning, Transportation and Regeneration Ian Thynne, Planning Specialists Team Manager Raj Alagh, Borough Solicitor Neil Fraser, Democratic Services Officer</p>	
25.	<p><b>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</b> (<i>Agenda Item 1</i>)</p> <p>All Members were present, but due to technical difficulties, Cllr Brightman was unable to take part and left the meeting before its conclusion.</p>	<b>Action by</b>
26.	<p><b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING</b> (<i>Agenda Item 2</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>	<b>Action by</b>
27.	<p><b>TO SIGN AND RECEIVE THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING</b> (<i>Agenda Item 3</i>)</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b> That the minutes of the meeting held on 29 October 2020 be approved as a correct record.</p>	<b>Action by</b>
28.	<p><b>MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE OR URGENT</b> (<i>Agenda Item 4</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>	<b>Action by</b>
29.	<p><b>TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS MARKED IN PART 1 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THOSE ITEMS MARKED IN PART 2 WILL BE HEARD IN PRIVATE</b> (<i>Agenda Item 5</i>)</p> <p>It was confirmed that all items would be considered in public.</p>	<b>Action by</b>

<p>30.</p>	<p><b>LAND WITHIN THE COLNE VALLEY, OFF HARVIL ROAD - 74320/APP/2020/2124</b> (<i>Agenda Item 6</i>)</p> <p>Officers introduced the report, confirming that the application sought approval for construction arrangements in relation to the approval of lorry routes in connection with four work sites, to facilitate construction of the Colne Valley Viaduct.</p> <p>Expected vehicle movements were outlined, and officers considered that the additional vehicles servicing the sites would not negatively impact existing traffic or result in additional congestion. The management of vehicles in and out of the sites was to be controlled via a traffic light junction, which had been approved by Transport for London and the Council’s Highways team, and which would be monitored and managed moving forward. There were therefore no transport or safety concerns relating to the application, and the application was recommended for approval.</p> <p>The Borough Solicitor advised the Committee that HS2 Ltd had provided sufficient information to allow the Committee to determine the application, that there were no grounds for refusal, and that there no grounds for additional conditions. Therefore, there was no impediment to the Committee approving the application.</p> <p>The officer’s recommendation was moved, seconded, and when put to a vote, unanimously agreed.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED: That the application be approved.</b></p>	<p><b>Action by</b></p>
<p>31.</p>	<p><b>BRAINTREE INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, BRAINTREE ROAD - 7215/APP/2020/2901</b> (<i>Agenda Item 7</i>)</p> <p>Officers introduced the report, confirming that the application sought approval of plans and specifications relating to a head house (South Ruislip Vent Shaft Head House) compound, which included the vent shaft headhouse building, the fan room building, two ventilation stacks, road vehicle parking, an Autotransformer Station, earthworks for retaining walls and associated fencing and artificial lighting units. It was confirmed that landscaping or route access to the site were not under consideration.</p> <p>Officers advised that there were no concerns relating to the proposed building design, parking area, transformer location, earthworks and associated fencing and lighting, and that it was considered that the proposals would not have a detrimental impact on a site of ecological value (i.e. a designated site) or an archaeological area of importance. It was considered that there were no reasonably practicable measures which were required to be taken for the purpose of mitigating the effect of the work or its operation in terms of its impact on the local environment or local amenity, and the application was therefore recommended for approval.</p> <p>The Borough Solicitor advised the Committee that HS2 Ltd had provided sufficient information to allow the Committee to determine the application, that there were no grounds for refusal, and that there no</p>	<p><b>Action by</b></p>

grounds for additional conditions. Therefore, there was no impediment to the Committee approving the application.

The officer's recommendation was moved, seconded, and when put to a vote, unanimously agreed.

**RESOLVED: That the application be approved.**

The meeting, which commenced at 6.00 pm, closed at 6.53 pm.

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Democratic Services on . Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.